

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/823,927		03/29/2001	Yusuke Tsutsui	81784.0232	7549		
26021	7590	12/03/2003		EXAM	INER		
		SON L.L.P.	JORGENSEN, LELAND R				
SUITE 19	RAND AVI 900	ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS AN	GELES, C	A 90071-2611	2675	11			
				DATÉ MAILED: 12/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<del> </del>					
			Application	in No.	Applicant(s)					
			09/823,92	.7	TSUTSUI ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Leland R.	Jorgensen	2675					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repeply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.130  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply  statutory period willy will, by statute,	6(a). In no eve within the statu ill apply and with cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>25 <i>Au</i></u>	gust 2003							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	,									
	on Papers	.00 0.,0,0,	0.000.011.10	Administra						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected (s) including the oath or declaration is objected (s)	e: a) acce ection to the d og the correction	pted or b)[  rawing(s) b on is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachment	• •			F						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I	PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>8, 1</u>	<u>10</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC	;) I-152)				

Art Unit: 2675

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. In view of the applicants' amendment and remarks filed 25 August 2003, the objection to claims 6 and 13 is withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. In view of the applicants' amendment and remarks filed 25 August 2003, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, to claims 2, 3, 9, 10 is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1 and 15 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by West et al., USPN 5,537,650.

## Claims 1 and 15 - 19

West teaches a driving apparatus for a display device [147]. West, figures 5 and 6. The driving apparatus has a driving circuit for generating a signal to allow a display section to display. The driving circuit has a digital signal processing circuit for processing a digital signal, a digital-to-analog converter circuit [DAC 102, 104, and 106] for converting a digital signal to an analog signal, and an analog signal processing circuit for processing an analog signal. West, col. 7, line 55 – col. 8, line 47; col. 9, line 46 – col. 10, line 15; and figures 5 and 6. West teaches a

Art Unit: 2675

power management system. West, col. 2, lines 26 - 31. West teaches a power supply circuit [logic sub-circuit 110] for generating a supply voltage for the driving circuit. West, col. 8, lines 14 - 18, and figure 5. The power supply circuit reduces the supply voltage supplied to the digital-to-analog converter circuit and to the analog signal processing circuit, from the supply voltage during the normal operation, when a specified power save operation are received. West, col. 2, lines 5 - 23; col. 3, lines 40 - 48; col. 4, lines 23 - 39; col. 4, line 6 - col. 5., line 1; col. 6, lines 19 - 23; col. 7, lines 29 - 41; and col. 8, lines 14 - 18. West teaches that the reduced power is applied only during a blanking period so that the display picture still would display. West, col. 3, lines 49 - 64; col. 9, lines 26 - 44.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West in view of Iwamoto et al., USPN 4,544,912.

## Claim 8

West does not specifically teach the details of the digital-to-analog converter circuit.

West does not teach that the digital-to-analog converter circuit that includes a plurality of voltage dividing resistive elements connected in series to the power supply from the power supply circuit.

Iwamoto teaches an digital-to-analog converter circuit that includes a plurality of voltage dividing resistive elements connected in series to the power supply from the power supply circuit, divides the supply voltage into a plurality of stages by the voltage dividing resistive

Art Unit: 2675

elements, selects a divided voltage corresponding to the digital data, and outputs an analog signal. Imamoto, col. 1, lines 11 - 16; col. 3, lines 3 - 26; and figure 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the digital-to-analog converter as taught by Iwamoto with the driving apparatus as taught by West. Iwamoto invites such combination by teaching,

The present invention relates to a digital-to-analog converter (D/A converter). The D/A converter according to the present invention is used in, for example, an analog-to-digital conversion device of a step-by-step comparison type for processing signals in electronic circuit devices.

Iwamoto, col. 1, lines 11 - 16. Iwamoto discusses the disadvantages of prior art D/A converters. Of a first prior art D/A converter, Iwamoto teaches,

However, such a prior art device is disadvantageous because another D/A converter must be provided to produce a variable reference voltage signal  $V_{ref}$  which is supplied to the D/A converter of FIG. 1 in order to effect analog control of the full-scale value  $V_f$ , and hence this prior art D/A converter device is very complicated.

Iwamoto, col. 2, lines 8 - 11. Of a second D/A converter, Iwamoto teaches,

However, this prior art device is disadvantageous since it is difficult to carry out the automatic switching between the feedback resistors  $R_0$  and  $R_0$ , using a digital signal, because it is necessary to change the connection of the connecting conductor W which connects the output of the AMP to one of the feedback resistors  $R_0$  and  $R_0$ . This method of controlling the full-scale value of the D/A converter of FIG. 2 is time-consuming and inconvenient.

Iwamoto, col. 2, lines 50 - 58. Iwamoto concludes,

An object of the present invention is to eliminate the above described disadvantages in prior art D/A converters of the resistor network type.

Iwamoto, col. 3, lines 3 - 5.

Art Unit: 2675

6. Claims 2, 3, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West, or over West in view of Iwamoto as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Volk, USPN 6,087,816, and Brooks et al., USPN 4,347,474.

## Claims 2 and 9

Neither West nor Iwamoto teach the details of the power supply circuit.

Volk teaches the details of a power supply circuit. Specifically, Volk teaches a power supply circuit that comprises a boosting section [transistors PFET and NFET, inductor L, diodes D1 and D2, and capacitors C1 and C2] for boosting the input voltage [Vin] and a feedback section [resistors R1 and R2, comparator, Clock pulse generator, and PWM Control State Machine] for detecting the supply voltage [Vout] at the output end of the power supply as a resistor divided voltage, comparing the detected voltage with a reference voltage [Vref], and controlling the boosting section so that the supply voltage is constant. Volk, col. 3, lines 14 50; and figure 2. Compare figure 4 and page 15, lines 14 – 21 of the specifications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the power supply circuit as taught by Volk with the driving apparatus as taught by West or by West in view of Iwamoto. Volk invites such combination by teaching,

Voltage regulators of various types are well known in the prior art. Of particular importance to the present invention are switching regulators which allow the regulators to provide a regulator output of a voltage which can be either higher or lower than the input voltage, as desired or as required for a particular application. One application for such regulators is with respect to systems operating on rechargeable batteries such as, by way of example, lap top computers. In this application, a charged battery may provide an input voltage to the regulator exceeding the desired regulated output voltage thereof, though with the battery voltage sagging to a voltage below the desired output voltage of the regulator as the battery discharges. The time between recharges necessary in such an application may be extended if the system will operate on a significantly reduced battery output voltage until such time as the battery is nearly fully

Art Unit: 2675

discharged. The time between recharges can also be extended if the efficiency of the regulator in such a system is improved and, of course, the size of various components of the regulator, such as the inductor, can be decreased with such increased efficiency because of the proportionately lower power dissipation in the regulator. Similarly, battery powered systems such as laptop computers may be operable with different types of batteries or battery packs having different output voltages, some requiring step-up and some step-down in the battery voltage to provide the desired regulated voltage for system operation. Also such systems are often operable on a battery pack or an AC-to-DC converter, the two frequently having significantly different voltages to present to the regulator. These are merely exemplary of the many applications in which this type of regulator is useful, if not mandatory.

Volk, col. 1, lines 10 – 42. Volk adds the following advantages,

Step-up/step-down switching regulators and pulse width modulation control therefor which can provide a high output current, high efficiency, use a physically smaller inductor and physically smaller transistors, have lower output voltage ripple, excellent line and load regulation stability and very fast transient response. The modulation control includes three operating states. The improved operating characteristics are obtained by including, as one of the operating states, the direct flow of current from the input of the regulator to the output of the regulator. This allows delivery of current from the inductor to the output a greater percentage of the time than in the prior art.

Volk, col. 2, lines 41 - 52.

Neither West, Iwamoto, nor Volk teach that the resisters have a switch.

Brooks teaches a plurality of resistive elements [resistors 28 and 32] connected to the output end of the power supply for detecting the supply voltage. A selector switch [inherent to potentiometer 30] for selecting a resistive element are connected to the feedback section from among the plurality of resistive elements. The divided voltage value of the supply voltage input to the feedback section is adjusted in response to the resistance value of the resistive element selected by the selector switch, and the output supply voltage is changed. Brooks, col. 3, lines 17 – 41, and figure 1.

Art Unit: 2675

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the power supply circuit switch as taught by Brooks with the driving apparatus as taught by Volk and by West or West in view of Iwamoto. Brooks invites such combination by teaching,

It is an object of the present invention to provide a power transformer which overcomes the environmental problems associated with conventional power transformers.

Another object of the present invention is to provide solid state (semiconductor) apparatus which provides many of the functional characteristics of the conventional power transformer while having the advantages of solid state circuitry.

Another object of the present invention is to provide solid state apparatus which provides variable step up or step down voltage transformation.

A further object of the present invention is to provide a solid state transformer having automatic voltage regulation with varying loads and leading and lagging power factors.

Still another object of the present invention is to provide a solid state transformer having high efficiency high reliability, and superior voltage regulation, independent of load diversity.

Brooks, col. 2, lines 20 - 39.

#### Claims 3 and 10

Yolks teaches that a resistive element with a lower resistance value is selected by the selector switch when reduction in the output supply voltage is desired so that the divided voltage value input to the feedback section is increased. Yatabe, col. 3, lines 17 - 50.

Art Unit: 2675

7. Claims 4, 5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West or over West in view of Iwamoto as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Nakanishi, USPN 6,323,851 B1.

#### Claims 4 and 11

Claims 4 and 11 each add details to the power supply circuit.

Neither West nor Iwamoto teach these details.

Nakanishi teaches a power supply circuit [power source circuit 21] that comprises a boosting section [booster circuit 210] for boosting the input voltage, a boosted power supply output switch [switch controller 213] for controlling passage between the boosting section and the output end of power supply, and a non-boosted power supply output switch [SW9] for bypassing the input and the output ends of power supply. Nakanishi, col. 4, line 34 – col. 5, line 48; col. 6, lines 12 – 59; col. 10, lines 49 – 57; and figure 2.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the power supply circuit as taught by Nakanishi with the driving apparatus as taught by West or West in view of Iwamoto so that the two types of output switches are switched and controlled such that one of the boosted or non-boosted supply voltages is output to the digital-to-analog converter circuit and to the analog signal processing circuit. Nakanishi invites such combination by teaching,

The present invention relates to a circuit and a method for driving a display device, more particularly to a circuit and a method for driving a display device which can prevent undesired bright lines or spots from appearing on a display screen when power supply is cut off.

Nakanishi, col. 1, lines 6 – 10. Nakanishi adds,

Art Unit: 2675

A display device is driven with a voltage which is higher than a source voltage supplied from a battery or the like. To obtain such a drive voltage, a drive circuit of the display device comprises a booster circuit for boosting a source voltage from a battery or the like up to a plurality of predetermined high voltages. The drive circuit has its power source circuit and it outputs a plurality of different voltages because the display device needs different voltages to its scanning electrodes and signal electrodes for time-sharing addressing.

A booster circuit or a dividing circuit is used as such a power source circuit which outputs a plurality of different voltages. The booster circuit boosts a source voltage into a plurality of different voltages. The booster circuit boosts a voltage by switching connection of a plurality of capacitors so that charged voltages in the capacitors are added to each other. The dividing circuit divides a previously boosted voltage into a plurality of different voltages. The dividing circuit divides a boosted source voltage with a series circuit of resistors or capacitors.

A capacitor-based booster or dividing circuit is advantageous to reduce its power consumption.

Nakanishi, col. 1, lines 13 - 34. Nakanishi teaches the following objects and advantageous.

The present invention has been made in consideration of the above, and it is an object to prevent irregular display caused by power-off action of the device from occurring.

It is another object of the present invention to terminate activity of the display device without irregular display after the device is turned off.

Nakanishi, col. 1, lines 53 – 58. Nakanishi further adds,

In the above embodiments, the following steps are taken. Discharging the capacitors, terminating the boost operation to reduce the boosted voltages and to terminate the output of the boosted voltages, and selecting a non-boosted voltage as a voltage to be applied. Those steps are performed in order to prevent irregular display during the off-state period from occurring and terminate display operation without occurrence of the irregular display.

Nakanishi, col. 10, lines 49 - 57. Nakanishi concludes with a description of its application to various types of displays.

The driving circuit of the present invention may be used for not only the LCD device but for a PDP (plasma display panel), an EL (electroluminescent)

Art Unit: 2675

display, an FED (field emission display), or the like. In other words, the driving circuit of the present invention may be used for a display device in which capacitors generate drive voltages and charges in the capacitors may cause irregular display during the off-state period.

As described in the above, the present invention prevents irregular display from appearing on a display screen during an off-state period, thus, the display operation is terminated without occurrence of the irregular display.

Nakanishi, col. 13, lines 44 - 41.

## Claims 5 and 12

Nakanishi teaches a power supply circuit [power source circuit 21] that comprises a boosting section [booster circuit 210] for boosting the input voltage, a boosted power supply output switch [switch controller 213] for controlling passage between the boosting section and the output end of power supply, and a non-boosted power supply output switch [SW9] for bypassing the input and the output ends of power supply. Nakanishi, col. 4, line 34 – col. 5, line 48; col. 6, lines 12 – 59; col. 10, lines 49 – 57; and figure 2. The boosting section [booster circuit 210] includes a plurality of capacitors [boosting capacitors C-1 to C-4] and a plurality of switches [SW1 to SW8] for the capacitors, for boosting an input voltage by switching and controlling the plurality of switches for capacitors. Nakanishi, col. 4, line 34 – 57; and figure 2. A clock signal produced by the driving circuit is used for switching and controlling the plurality of switches for capacitors. Nakanishi, col. 12, lines 49 – 62. It is inherent that the clock signal is produced by a clock.

For the reasons stated in the discussion about claims 4 and 13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the power supply circuit as taught by Nakanishi with the driving apparatus as taught by West or West in view of Iwamoto.

Art Unit: 2675

8. Claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West in view of Nakanishi as applied to claim 5 above or over West in view of Iwamoto and further in view of Nakanishi as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Niijima, USPN 5,155,840.

#### Claims 6 and 13

West and Nakanishi teach that the driving circuit determines a current mode from a boosted power supply generating mode, a non-boosted power supply generating mode, or a power supply suspension mode, based on a predetermined power save control instruction, and based on the determination controls supply and suspension of supply of the power supply clock or supply and suspension of supply of the clock, and opening/closing of the output switches of the power supply circuit.

Neither West, Nakanishi, nor Iwamoto teach that the clock signal is from an oscillation circuit.

Niijima teaches clock to generate signals from a oscillation circuit [Sub System-Clock Oscillation Circuit 4 and Main System-Clock Oscillation Circuit 5]. Niijima, col. 5, lines 22 – 40; and figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention have to combine the clock having an oscillation circuit with the driving apparatus as taught by West and Nakanishi or West, Nakanishi, or Iwamoto. Niijima invites such combination by teaching,

The present invention relates to a single-chip microcomputer which possesses internally a clock-signal switching function and, more particularly, to a

Art Unit: 2675

single-chip microcomputer capable of automatically stopping the oscillation of a main system-clock oscillation circuit after a clock signal has been switched to a sub clock-signal from a main clock-signal.

Today, one of the capabilities which is sought in a single-chip microcomputer is that of reducing power consumption.

A conventional example in which an attempt has been made to reduce power consumption is one which employs two oscillators, one being a main system-clock oscillator for a high speed operation and the other being a sub system-clock oscillator for a low speed operation.

In this conventional example, during the period in which the single-chip microcomputer is operable by the clock-signal for a low speed operation, the main system-clock oscillation circuit stops its operation and only the sub system-clock oscillation circuit which is slow in speed but in which the power consumption is small operates. Such a conventional single-chip microcomputer and a problem therein are fully explained later for assisting the understanding of the present invention.

Niijima, col. 1, lines 8 - 32. Niijima teaches as an object of the invention,

It is, therefore, an object of the present invention to overcome the problem existing in the conventional arrangement and to provide an improved single-chip microcomputer.

It is another object of the present invention to provide a single-chip microcomputer which is capable of effecting an automatic stop of a main system-clock oscillation circuit after a main clock-signal has been switched to a sub clock-signal.

Niijima, col. 1, lines 35 - 44.

## Claims 7 and 14

Nakanishi teaches a power supply circuit [power source circuit 21] that comprises a boosting section [booster circuit 210] for boosting the input voltage, a boosted power supply output switch [switch controller 213] for controlling passage between the boosting section and the output end of power supply, and a non-boosted power supply output switch [SW9] for bypassing the input and the output ends of power supply. Nakanishi, col. 4, line 34 – col. 5, line

Art Unit: 2675

48; col. 6, lines 12-59; col. 10, lines 49-57; and figure 2. The boosting section [booster circuit 210] includes a plurality of capacitors [boosting capacitors C-1 to C-4] and a plurality of switches [SW1 to SW8] for the capacitors, for boosting an input voltage by switching and controlling the plurality of switches for capacitors. Nakanishi, col. 4, line 34-57; and figure 2. A clock signal produced by the driving circuit is used for switching and controlling the plurality of switches for capacitors. Nakanishi, col. 12, lines 49-62. It is inherent that the clock signal is produced by a clock.

For the reasons stated in the discussion about claims 4 and 13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the power supply circuit as taught by Nakanishi with the driving apparatus as taught by West or West in view of Iwamoto.

Niijima teaches clock to generate signals from a oscillation circuit [Sub System-Clock Oscillation Circuit 4 and Main System-Clock Oscillation Circuit 5]. Niijima, col. 5, lines 22 – 40; and figure 1.

For the reasons stated above in the discussion about claims 6 and 13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention have to combine the clock having an oscillation circuit with the driving apparatus as taught by West and Nakanishi or West, Nakanishi, or Iwamoto.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 25 August 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2675

Applicant argues that West does not teach a voltage that is lower than the voltage for the normal operation but instead teaches that the voltage is shut off during a blanking period.

During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless applicant has provided a clear definition in the specification. The words in a claim are generally not limited in their meaning by what is shown or disclosed in the specification. It is only when the specification provides definitions for terms appearing in the claims that the specification can be used in interpreting claim language. MPEP 2111.01.

Claim 1 states that the supply voltage is reduced from normal. Claim 15 states that the voltage is less than normal. A zero voltage is reduced from normal and is less than normal. Moreover, a supply voltage having zero voltage during blanking periods and a normal voltage otherwise would average less than a supply voltage having a constant normal voltage assuming that in both cases the normal voltage is the same.

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious combine Iwamoto with West because Iwamoto does not suggest that the DAC be used during power save. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Iwamoto teaches that its D/A converter is superior to prior art D/A converters. It would have

Art Unit: 2675

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a better D/A converter in the power saving circuit of West.

Applicant argues that Nakanishi only discloses a on-off state for the display. However, such circuit would prevent irregular display when the supply voltage is reduced during the blanking period.

Applicant argues that Niijima does not show or suggest the combination of element set forth in the claims. Such mere statement is not enough to rebut examiner's rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, 9, and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2675

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 16

examiner should be directed to Leland Jorgensen whose telephone number is 703-305-2650. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 a.m. through 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, telephone number (703) 306-0377.

lri -

STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600